Exercises to the lecture Algorithmic Automata Theory Sheet 2

Dr. Prakash Saivasan Peter Chini

Delivery until 02.05.2018 at 09:00

**Exercise 2.1** (WMSO to Finite Automata)

Using the method presented in the lecture, construct a finite automaton that accepts the language defined by the formula

$$\varphi = \exists x \exists y \colon x < y \land P_a(x) \land P_a(y) \; .$$

Exercise 2.2 (WMSO Expressiveness)

- a) Show that WMSO[<, suc] and WMSO[suc] are equally expressive.
- b) Show that WMSO[<, suc] and WMSO[<] are equally expressive.

**Exercise 2.3** (Star-Free Languages)

Prove or disprove whether the following languages over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  are star-free:

- a)  $(ab \cup ba)^*$
- b)  $(a \cup bab)^*$
- c)  $L_{odd} = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ has odd length} \}.$

**Exercise 2.4** (Star-Free  $\Rightarrow$  FO[<]-definable)

a) Let  $w = a_0 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$  be a word and let  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \le i \le j \le n$ . Show that for every closed FO[<]-formula  $\varphi$  and FO-variables x, y with  $\mathcal{I}(x) = i, \mathcal{I}(y) = j$ , there is a formula  $\psi(x, y)$  such that

 $\mathcal{S}(w), \mathcal{I} \vDash \psi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}(a_i \dots a_j) \vDash \varphi$ .

- b) Deduce from a) that FO[<]-definable languages are closed under concatenation.
- c) Prove using structural induction that every star-free language is FO[<]-definable.

Delivery until 02.05.2018 at 09:00 into the box next to 343